1	IAN SIMMONS (pro hac vice)	
2	Email: isimmons@omm.com BENJAMIN G. BRADSHAW (SBN 189925)	
3	Email: bbradshaw@omm.com KEVIN D. FEDER (SBN 252347)	
	Email: kfeder@omm.com	
4	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street, NW	
5	Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 383-5300	
6	Facsimile: (202) 383-5414	
7	Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	s Co.,
8	PHILIP J. IOVIENO	
9	Email: piovieno@bsfllp.com	
10	ANNE M. NARDACCI Email: anardacci@bsfllp.com BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
11	10 North Pearl Street, 4th Floor	
12	Albany, NY 12207 Telephone: (518) 434-0600	
13	Facsimile: (518) 434-0665	1
14	Liaison Counsel for Direct Action Plaintiffs an Attorneys for Plaintiffs Electrograph Systems,	Inc.,
15	Electrograph Technologies, Corp., Office Dep Inc., Compucom Systems, Inc., Interbond	ot,
16	Corporation of America, P.C. Richard & Son Island Corporation, Marta Cooperative of Am	
17	Inc., ABC Appliance, Inc., Schultze Agency Sel LLC on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Twe	rvices
18	Newco, LLC	
19	(additional filing parties listed on signature po	iges)
20	NORTHERN DIST	S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA
21	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION
22		Case No. 07-5944 SC
23		MDL No. 1917
24	In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION
25	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013
26		REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT
27		ACTION COMPLAINTS
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS	
	REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

1	
2	
3	This Dogument Polistes to
4	This Document Relates to:
5	Electrograph Systems, Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-01656;
6	Stoebner, et al. v. LG Electronics, et al., No. 11-cv-05381;
7 8	Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;
9	Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05513;
0	Target Corp, et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05514;
.1	Interbond Corporation of America v. Hitachi, et al., No. 11-cv-06275;
3	Office Depot, Inc. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06276;
4 5	CompuCom Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06396;
6	Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-06397;
7 8	P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et al., v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02648;
9	Schultze Agency Services, LLC, et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 12-cv-02649.
$0 \mid 0$	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS

Pursuant to Stipulation and the Court's May 9, 2013 Order (*see* Dkt. No. 1666) ("May 9 Order"), the undersigned Defendants and Direct Action Plaintiffs ("DAPs") hereby submit their respective position statements regarding the Special Master's May 2, 2013 Report and Recommendation on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss the Direct Action Complaints (*see* Dkt. No. 1664) ("R&R").

As described in the Court's May 9 Order, to the extent the parties' position statements indicate that they intend to move to adopt a specific portion of the R&R, that will be deemed a "motion to adopt" under the Court's orders setting forth the procedure for moving to adopt a report and recommendation of the Special Master (*see*, *e.g.*, Dkt. Nos. 302, 446, 1298); the parties need not file a separate motion to adopt, and instead may address arguments in support of adopting portions of the R&R in their respective, forthcoming responsive briefs.

#### **POSITION STATEMENTS**

#### I. Motions to Dismiss Federal Cause of Action

#### A. Standing Under *Illinois Brick* Direct Purchaser Rule

Defendants intend to object to the Special Master's recommendation that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss be denied "to the extent the motion challenges the [DAPs'] right to proceed under the so-called 'owned or controlled' exception to *Illinois Brick*" (R&R at 5, ¶ 3). Defendants make this objection in order to preserve this issue for appeal. Defendants move to adopt the Special Master's recommendations as to the "cost-plus" and "co-conspirator" exceptions (R&R ¶¶ 1 & 2), and the Special Master's determinations that the issues of "the adequacy of" the DAPs' allegations regarding the owned-or-controlled exception and "whether [the DAPs] will be able to prove what is needed" to prevail under the owned-or-controlled exception are not currently before the Court (R&R ¶¶ 3 & 4).

al., Case No. 3:13-cv-02171 (N.D. Cal).

Defendants filed three Rule 12 motions on August 17, 2012 in the Direct Action Plaintiff cases, listed in the caption, above. For ease of reference and consistency with Special Master Legge's approach, this document refers interchangeably to all three motions as "Motions to Dismiss." Defendants technically sought judgment on the pleadings as to the complaint in *Electrograph Systems, Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-01656 (N.D. Cal.) (Dkt. No. 5) (Mar. 10, 2011), as Defendants have already filed answers to that complaint. Defendants have not yet made motions to dismiss in the following DAP actions: *Tech Data Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 3:13-cv-00157 (N.D. Cal.); *Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 13-cv-01173 (N.D. Cal.); and *Dell Inc. et al. v. Philips Electronics North America Corp., et* 

DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS

1		2.	<u>DAPs</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recor	
2			Defendants' motion to dismiss the DAPs' feder reasons set forth in the Court's order of Novem	
3 4			However, the DAPs also intend to object to cert Master's recommended order concerning the D dismiss their federal claims. ( <i>Id.</i> )	tain aspects of the Special
5	II. Mot	ions to l	Dismiss State-Law Causes of Action	
6	Α.	Statu	ites of Limitation	
7 8		1.	<u>Defendants</u> intend to object to the Special Mast Defendants' Motion to Dismiss based upon the limitation be denied. (R&R at 7.)	
9				
10		2.	<u>DAPs</u> move to adopt the Special Master's reconding Defendants' motion to dismiss the DAPs' state limitations grounds be denied. (R&R at 6-7.)	
11	В.	Prud	lential Standing	
12	Б.		•	
13		1.	<u>Defendants</u> intend to object to the Special Mast the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on the ground standing be denied without prejudice. (R&R at	nds of lack of prudential
14				,
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>		2.	<u>DAPs</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recon Defendants' motion to dismiss the DAPs' state standing" grounds be denied without prejudice.	law claims on "prudential
17	C.	Due	Process	
18				
19		1.	<u>Defendants</u> intend to object to the Special Mast the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on the ground be denied without prejudice. (R&R at 8-9.)	
20		2.	DAPs move to adopt the Special Master's recor	nmandation that the
21		2.	Defendants' motion to dismiss the DAPs' state	law claims on due process
22			grounds be denied without prejudice. (R&R at 8	3-9.)
23	D.	Stan	ding Under Associated General Contractors	
24		1.	<u>Defendants</u> move to adopt the Special Master's	
25			DAPs' claims under the laws of the states of Ca Arizona, and Washington be dismissed based of Contractors y Cal State Council of Carpenters	n Associated Gen.
26			Contractors v. Cal. State Council of Carpenters (R&R at 9-11.)	o, <del>1</del> 07 (1703).
27				
28	PLAINTIFFS' REGARDING	POSITIO SPECIA	DIRECT ACTION ON STATEMENTS L MASTER'S MAY 2, ECOMMENDATION ON 4	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

ĺ			
		2.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendations that the DAPs' claims under the California Cartwright Act, as well as the laws of
			the states of Illinois, Michigan, Arizona, and Washington, be dismissed, with leave to amend, based on <i>Associated Gen. Contractors v. Cal. State Council of Carpenters</i> , 459 U.S. 519 (1983). (R&R at 9-11.)
III.	Othe	r Motio	ons to Dismiss Claims Under Certain States' Laws
	A.	Cons	umer Protection Statutes of Massachusetts and Washington
		1.	<u>Defendants</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recommendations that the DAPs' claims arising under the consumer protection statutes of Massachusetts and Washington be dismissed without leave to amend. (R&R at 12.)
		2.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendations that the DAPs' claims arising under the consumer protection statutes of Massachusetts and Washington be dismissed without leave to amend. (R&R at 12.)
	B.	Com	mon Law Unjust Enrichment Claims
		1.	<u>Defendants</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recommendation that the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the DAPs' claims arising under the common laws of unjust enrichment be granted. (R&R at 12-13.)
		2.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendation that the DAPs' unjust enrichment claims be dismissed with leave to amend. (R&R at 12-13.)
	C.	Calif	ornia Restitution and Unjust Enrichment Claims
		1.	<u>Defendants</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recommendation that the DAPs' claims arising under California's laws for restitution or unjust enrichment be dismissed without leave to amend. (R&R at 13.)
		2.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendation that the DAPs' California restitution and unjust enrichment claims be dismissed without leave to amend. (R&R at 13.)
	D.	Calif	ornia Unfair Competition Law Claims
		1.	<u>Defendants</u> will not object to the Special Master's recommendation that the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the DAPs' claims arising under California's Unfair Competition Law be denied. (R&R at 13.)
DEFE	NDANTS	S' AND I	DIRECT ACTION
PLAIN	TIFFS'	POSITIO	ON STATEMENTS L MASTER'S MAY 2,  Case No. 07-5944

- 1			
1 2		2.	<u>DAPs</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recommendation that Defendants' motion to dismiss the DAPs' California Unfair Competition
			Law claims be denied. (R&R at 13.)
3   4	E.		s Under Nebraska, Nevada, and New York Law Prior to <i>Illinois Brick</i> ler Statutes
5		1.	<u>Defendants</u> move to adopt the Special Master's recommendation that the
6			DAPs' claims under Nebraska, Nevada, and New York law should be dismissed to the extent those claims are based on purchases pre-dating the effective date of those states' <i>Illinois Brick</i> repealer statutes (July 20, 2002)
7			October 1, 1999; and December 23, 1998, respectively). (R&R at 13-14.)
8		2.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendation that the
9			DAPs' Nebraska and Nevada law claims based on purchases that pre-date the enactment of Illinois Brick repealer statutes in those states be dismissed. (R&R at 13.) The DAPs do not intend to object to the Special
11			Master's recommendation that the DAPs' New York law claims based on purchases prior to December 23, 1998 be dismissed. (R&R at 13-14.)
12	IV. Motion	ns to D	ismiss by Individual Defendants
13	A.	Philip	s Defendants, Joined by LG Electronics Defendants
14		1.	Philips Defendants move to adopt the Special Master's report and
15			recommendation that the DAPs' claims against Koninklijke Philips
16			Electronics N.V. and Philips Electronics North America Corporation should be dismissed without leave to amend on the grounds that the DAPs
17			claims against the Philips Defendants are barred under the applicable statute of limitations. (R&R at 14-15.)
18		2.	LG Electronics Defendants move to adopt the Special Master's report and
19			recommendation that the DAPs' claims against LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics USA, Inc., and LG Electronics Taiwan-Taipei, Ltd. should be
20			dismissed without leave to amend on the grounds that the DAPs' claims
21			against the LGE Defendants are barred under the applicable statute of limitations. (R&R at 14-15.)
22		3.	<u>DAPs</u> intend to object to the Special Master's recommendations that the
23			DAPs' claims against the Philips Defendants and the LGE Defendants <sup>2</sup> be dismissed on statute of limitations grounds without leave to amend. (R&F
24			at 14-15.)
25			
26	<sup>2</sup> The Philips I America Corp	Defenda oration	ants are Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. and Philips Electronics North and the LGE Defendants are LG Electronics, Inc. and LG Electronics USA
27	Inc.		and the second of the second o
28	REGARDING S. 2013 REPORT A	OSITION PECIAL AND REG	IRECT ACTION N STATEMENTS MASTER'S MAY 2, COMMENDATION ON DIRECT ACTION  Case No. 07-594 MDL NO. 193

1	B.	Samsu	ing Electronics Def	endants	
2 3		1.	recommendation the Ltd. and Samsung I	cs Defendants move to adopt the Special at the DAPs' claims against Samsung Electronics America, Inc. be dismissed w	ectronics Co.,
4			amend. (R&R at 10	6-17.)	
5		2.		ect to the Special Master's recommendat ast Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. and Sa	
6			_	a, Inc. be dismissed with leave to amend	-
7					
8 9	Dated:	May 1	6, 2013	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
10				By: /s/ Ian Simmons IAN SIMMONS (pro hac vice)	
11				Email: isimmons@omm.com BENJAMIN G. BRADSHAW (SBN 18	9925)
12				Email: bbradshaw@omm.com KEVIN D. FEDER (SBN 252347)	)) <u>2</u> 2)
13				Email: kfeder@omm.com O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
14				1625 Eye Street, NW Washington, DC 20006	
15				Telephone: (202) 383-5300 Facsimile: (202) 383-5414	
16				Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Elec	tronics Co
17				Ltd. and Samsung Electronics America,	
18				WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	
19				By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Kessler	
20				JEFFREY L. KESSLER (pro hac vice) E-mail: JKessler@winston.com	
21				A. PAUL VICTOR (pro hac vice) E-mail: PVictor@winston.com	
22				ALDO A. BADINI (SBN 257086) E-mail: ABadini@winston.com	
23				EVA W. COLE (pro hac vice) E-mail: EWCole@winston.com	
24				MOLLY M. DONOVAN E-mail: MMDonovan@winston.com	
25				WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 200 Park Avenue	
26				New York, NY 10166 Telephone: (212) 294-6700	
27				Facsimile: (212) 294-4700	
28	REGARDING S 2013 REPORT A	OSITION PECIAL AND REC	RECT ACTION I STATEMENTS MASTER'S MAY 2, COMMENDATION ON DIRECT ACTION	7	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

# 

1		STEVEN A. REISS (pro hac vice)	
2		E-mail: steven.reiss@weil.com DAVID L. YOHAI (pro hac vice)	
3		E-mail: david.yohai@weil.com ADAM C. HEMLOCK (pro hac vice)	
4		E-mail: adam.hemlock@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLI 767 Fifth Avenue	•
5		New York, New York 10153-0119 Telephone: (212) 310-8000	
6		Facsimile: (212) 310-8007	
7		Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Co Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd.	), Panasonic
8		Corporation of North America, MT Pic. Co., Ltd.	ture Display
9			
10		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
11		By: /s/ Kent M. Roger KENT M. ROGER (SBN 95987)	,
12		E-mail: kroger@morganlewis.com MICHELLE PARK CHIU (SBN 24842	21)
13		E-mail: mchiu@morganlewis.com One Market, Spear Street Tower	
14		San Francisco, California 94105-1126 Telephone: (415) 442-1000	
15		Facsimile: (415) 442-1001	
16		J. CLAYTON EVERETT, JR. (pro hac E-mail: jeverett@morganlewis.com	vice)
17		SCOTT A. STEMPEL (pro hac vice) E-mail: sstempel@morganlewis.com	
18		MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LL 111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW	<b>P</b>
19		Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: (202) 739-3000	
20		Facsimile: (202) 739-3001	
21		Attorneys for Defendants Hitachi, Ltd., Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Eas	
22		Asia, Ltd., Hitachi America, Ltd., and Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.	
23		· /	
24		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
25		By: <u>/s/ Hojoon Hwang</u> HOJOON HWANG (SBN 184950)	
26		E-mail: Hojoon.Hwang@mto.com 560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Fl	oor
27		San Francisco, California 94105-2907	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	8	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

### 

1		Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077	
2		WILLIAM D. TEMKO (SBN 098858)	
3		E-mail: William.Temko@mto.com JONATHAN E. ALTMAN (SBN 17060	07)
5		E-mail: Jonathan.Altman@mto.com BETHANY W. KRISTOVICH (SBN 24 E-mail: Bethany.Kristovich@mto.com	11891)
6		MUNGER, TÖLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth F	
7		Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702	
8 9		Attorneys for Defendants LG Electronic, Electronics USA, Inc.; and LG Electron	
10		Taipei Co., Ltd.	ics Tuiwan
11		SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HA	AMPTON
12		By: /s/ Gary L. Halling GARY L. HALLING (SBN 66087)	
13 <sub>14</sub>		E-mail: ghalling@sheppardmullin.com JAMES L. MCGINNIS (SBN 95788)	,
15		E-mail: jmcginnis@sheppardmullin.com MICHAEL W. SCARBOROUGH (SBN E-mail: mscarborough@sheppardmullin	V 203524)
16		SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor	HAMPTON
17		San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 434-9100 Facsimile: (415) 434-3947	
18		Attorneys for Defendants Samsung SDI	
19   20		Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung SDI (BHD.; Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. DE C. SDI Brasil Ltda.; Shenzen Samsung SDI	V.; Samsung
21		Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.	,
22		WHITE & CASE LLP	
23		By: /s/ Lucius B. Lau CHRISTOPHER M. CURRAN (pro had	c vica)
24		E-mail: ccurran@whitecase.com LUCIUS B. LAU (pro hac vice)	. vice)
25		E-mail: alau@whitecase.com DANA E. FOSTER (pro hac vice)	
26 27		E-mail: defoster@whitecase.com WHITE & CASE LLP 701 Thirteenth Street, N.W.	
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS	701 TimeChui Succi, IV. W.	
	REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	9	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

### Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1676 Filed05/16/13 Page10 of 14

1		Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 626-3600	
2		Facsimile: (202) 639-9355	
3 4		Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corpo Toshiba America Information Systems, In America Consumer Products, L.L.C., and America Electronic Components, Inc.	nc., Toshiba
5		America Liectronic Components, Inc.	
6		BAKER BOTTS LLP	
7		By: /s/ Jon V. Swenson	
8		JON V. SWENSON (SBN 233054) 1001 Page Mill Road Building One, Suite 200	
9		Palo Alto, CA 94304	
10		Telephone: (650) 739-7500 Facsimile: (650) 739-7699	
11		E-mail: jon.swenson@bakerbotts.com	
		JOHN M. TALADAY (pro hac vice) E-mail: john.taladay@bakerbotts.com	
12		JOSEPH OSTOYIČH (pro hac vice)	
13		E-mail: joseph.ostoyich@bakerbotts.com <b>BAKER BOTTS LLP</b>	1
14		1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20004-2400	
15		Telephone: (202) 639-7700	
16		Facsimile: (202) 639-7890	
17		Attorneys for Defendants Koninklijke Ph Electronics N.V. and Philips Electronics	
18		America Corporation	
19		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP	
20		By: /s/ Rachel S. Brass	
21		Rachel S. Brass (SBN 219301) Email: rbrass@gibsondunn.com	
22		Joel S. Sanders (SBN 107234) Email: jsanders@gibsondunn.com	
		Austin V. Schwing (SBN 211696)	,
23		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLF 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000	•
24		San Francisco, California 94105 Tel: (415) 393-8200	
25		Fax: (415) 393-8306	
26		Attorneys for Defendant Chunghwa Pictu and Chunghwa Picture Tubes (Malaysia	) as to the
27		Target Am. Compl., P.C. Richard Compl	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	10	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

## 

1		Compl., CompuCom Compl., Interbond Compl., Office Depo Compl. and Best B	
2			
3		PERKINS COIE LLP	
4		By: /s/ David J. Burman DAVID J. BURMAN (pro hac vice)	
5		Email: DBurman@perkinscoie.com	
6		CORI G. MOORE (pro hac vice) Email: CGMoore@perkisncoie.com	
7		ERIC J. WEISS (pro hac vice) Email: EWeiss@perkinscoie.com	. ,
8		NICHOLAS H. HESTERBERG (pro ha Email: NHesterberg@perkinscoie.com	ic vice)
9		PERKINS COIE LLP 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900	
10		Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Telephone: 206.359.8000	
11		Facsimile: 206.359.9000	
12		JOREN BASS (Bar No. 208143) Email: JBass@perkinscoie.com	
13		PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400	
14		San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: 415.344.7120	
15		Facsimile: 415.344.7320	
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff Costco Wholesale	e Corporation
17		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	
18		By: /s/ Philip J. Iovieno	
19		PHILIP J. IOVIENO Email: piovieno@bsfllp.com	
20		ANNE M. NARDACCI Email: anardacci@bsfllp.com	T.D.
21		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LI 10 North Pearl Street, 4th Floor	LP
22		Albany, NY 12207 Telephone: (518) 434-0600	
23		Facsimile: (518) 434-0665	
24		WILLIAM A. ISAACSON Email: wisaacson@bsfllp.com	
25		JENNIFER MILICI Email: jmilici@bsfllp.com	
26		BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LI 5301 Wisconsin Ave. NW, Suite 800	LP
27		Washington, D.C. 20015 Telephone: (202) 237-2727	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	11	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

### Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1676 Filed05/16/13 Page12 of 14

1		Facsimile: (202) 237-6131	
2		STUART SINGER	
3		Email: ssinger@bsfllp.com <b>BOIES, SCHILLER &amp; FLEXNER LI</b> 401 East Las Olas Blvd., Suite 1200	LP
4		Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	
5		Telephone: (954) 356-0011 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022	
6		Liaison Counsel for Direct Action Plain	
7		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Electrograph Sy. Electrograph Technologies, Corp., Office	ce Depot, Inc.,
8		Compucom Systems, Inc., Interbond Co. America, P.C. Richard & Son Long Isla	nd
9		Corporation, Marta Cooperative of Ame Appliance, Inc., Schultze Agency Service	es LLC on
10		behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweet	ter Newco, LLC
11		ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRE	SI L.L.P.
12		By: /s/ Roman M. Silberfeld	(2)
13		ROMAN M. SILBERFELD (SBN 6278 Email: RMSilberfeld@rkmc.com	3)
14		DAVID MARTINEZ (SBN 193183) Email: DMartinez@rkmc.com	DECLI I D
15		ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIR 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400	KESI L.L.P.
16		Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208 Telephone: (310) 552-0130	
17		Facsimile: (310) 229-5800	
18		Attorneys For Plaintiffs Best Buy Co., In Purchasing LLC, Best Buy Enterprise Sciences	ervices, Inc.,
19		Best Buy Stores, L.P., Bestbuy.com, L.L. Magnolia Hi-Fi, LLC	.C., and
20			
21		SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
22		By: /s/ Kenneth S. Marks H. LEE GODFREY	
23		Email: lgodfrey@sumangodfrey.com KENNETH S. MARKS	
24		Email: kmarks@susmangodfrey.com JONATHAN J. ROSS	
25		Email: jross@susmangodfrey.com JOHNNY W. CARTER	
26		Email: jcarter@susmangodfrey.com DAVID M. PETERSON	
27		Email: dpeterson@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	12	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

### Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1676 Filed05/16/13 Page13 of 14

1		1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100 Houston, Texas 77002	
2		Telephone: (713) 651-9366 Facsimile: (713) 654-6666	
3		PARKER C. FOLSE III	
4		Email: pfolse@susmangodfrey.com RACHEL S. BLACK	
5		Email: rblack@susmangodfrey.com JORDAN CONNORS	
6 7		Email: jconnors@susmangodfrey.com <b>SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.</b> 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800	
8		Seattle, Washington 98101-3000 Telephone: (206) 516-3880	
9		Facsimile: (206) 516-3883	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff Alfred H. Siegel, the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating	
11		CROWELL & MORING LLP	
12 13		By: /s/ Jason C. Murray JASON C. MURRAY (CA Bar No. 169	806)
14		Email: jmurray@crowell.com CROWELL & MORING LLP	,
15		515 South Flower St., 40th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
16		Telephone: (213) 443-5582 Facsimile: (213) 622-2690	
17		, ,	
18		JEROME A. MURPHY (pro hac vice) E-mail: jmurphy@crowell.com	
		ASTOR H.L. HEAVEN (pro hac vice) Email: aheaven@crowell.com	
19		CROWELL & MORING LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	
20		Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: (202) 624-2500	
21		Facsimile: (202) 628-5116	
22		Counsel for Target Corp.	
23		KENNY NACHWALTER, P.A.	
24		By: /s/ Richard Alan Arnold	
25		RICHARD ALAN ARNOLD Email: rarnold@knpa.com	
26		WILLIAM J. BLECHMAN Email: wblechman@knpa.com	
27		KEVIN J. MURRAY	
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS	13	Case No. 07-594 MDL NO. 191

### Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document1676 Filed05/16/13 Page14 of 14

1		Email: kmurray@knpa.com
2		<b>KENNY NACHWALTER, P.A.</b> 201 S. Biscayne Blvd., Suite 1100
3		Miami, FL 33131 Tel: (305) 373-1000
4		Fax: (305) 372-1861
5		Counsel for Plaintiff Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp.
6		•
7		LINDQUIST & VENNUM P.L.L.P.
		By: /s/ Jessica L. Meyer
8		Jessica L. Meyer (SBN: 249064) Email: jmeyer@lindquist.com
9		James M. Lockhart (pro hac vice)
10		Email: jlockhart@lindquist.com James P. McCarthy (pro hac vice)
11		Email: jmccarthy@lindquist.com
11		Kelly G. Laudon ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Email: klaudon@lindquist.com
12		LINDQUIST & VENNUM P.L.L.P.
13		4200 IDS Center 80 South Eighth Street
		Minneapolis, MN 55402
14		Telephone: (612) 371-3211 Facsimile: (612) 371-3207
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs John R. Stoebner, as Chapter 7
16		Trustee for PBE Consumer Electronics, LLC and Related Entities; and Douglas A. Kelley, as Chapter 11
17		Trustee for Petters Company, Inc. and Related Entities, and as Receiver for Petters Company, LLC and Related
18		Entities
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	DEFENDANTS' AND DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' POSITION STATEMENTS REGARDING SPECIAL MASTER'S MAY 2, 2013 REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON	Case No. 07-5944 MDL NO. 1917

MOTIONS TO DISMISS DIRECT ACTION COMPLAINTS